

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEETING OF THE CABINET

WEDNESDAY 20TH JULY 2011 AT 4.00 P.M.

COMMITTEE ROOM, THE COUNCIL HOUSE, BURCOT LANE, BROMSGROVE

MEMBERS: Councillors R. Hollingworth (Leader), Mrs. M. A. Sherrey JP

(Deputy Leader), Dr. D. W. P. Booth JP, M. A. Bullivant,

C. B. Taylor and M. J. A. Webb

AGENDA

- 1. To receive apologies for absence
- 2. Declarations of Interest
- 3. To confirm the accuracy of the minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet held on 22nd June 2011 (Pages 1 4)
- 4. Minutes of the meeting of The Worcestershire Shared Services Joint Committee held on 23rd June 2011 (Pages 5 8)
 - (a) To receive and note the minutes
 - (b) To consider any recommendations contained within the minutes
- 5. Minutes of the meeting of the Shared Services Board held on 30th June 2011 (Pages 9 54)
 - (a) To receive and note the minutes
 - (b) To consider the recommendation relating to non confidential items
- 6. Minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Board held on 11th July 2011 (To Follow) (Pages 55 56)
 - (a) To receive and note the minutes
 - (b) To consider any recommendations contained within the minutes

- 7. To receive verbal updates from the Leader and/or other Cabinet Members on any recent meetings attended in an ex-officio capacity (Pages 57 58)
- 8. To consider any other business, details of which have been notified to the Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services prior to the commencement of the meeting and which the Chairman, by reason of special circumstances, considers to be of so urgent a nature that it cannot wait until the next meeting
- 9. To consider, and if considered appropriate, to pass the following resolution to exclude the public from the meeting during the consideration of item(s) of business containing exempt information:-

"RESOLVED: that under Section 100 I of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended, the public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act, as amended, the relevant paragraph of that part, in each case, being as set out below, and that it is in the public interest to do so:-

Item No. Paragraph(s)
10 1 and 4

10. Recommendations of the Shared Services Board held on 30th June 2011 (Relating to Confidential Items) (Pages 59 - 144)

K. DICKS Chief Executive

The Council House Burcot Lane BROMSGROVE Worcestershire B60 1AA

11th July 2011







INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC

Access to Information

The Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 widened the rights of press and public to attend Local Authority meetings and to see certain documents. Recently the Freedom of Information Act 2000 has further broadened these rights, and limited exemptions under the 1985 Act.

- ➤ You can attend all Council, Cabinet and Committee/Board meetings, except for any part of the meeting when the business would disclose confidential or "exempt" information.
- > You can inspect agenda and public reports at least five days before the date of the meeting.
- ➤ You can inspect minutes of the Council, Cabinet and its Committees/Boards for up to six years following a meeting.
- You can have access, upon request, to the background papers on which reports are based for a period of up to six years from the date of the meeting. These are listed at the end of each report.
- ➤ An electronic register stating the names and addresses and electoral areas of all Councillors with details of the membership of all Committees etc. is available on our website.
- A reasonable number of copies of agendas and reports relating to items to be considered in public will be made available to the public attending meetings of the Council, Cabinet and its Committees/Boards.
- You have access to a list specifying those powers which the Council has delegated to its Officers indicating also the titles of the Officers concerned, as detailed in the Council's Constitution, Scheme of Delegation.

You can access the following documents:

- Meeting Agendas
- Meeting Minutes
- ➤ The Council's Constitution

at www.bromsgrove.gov.uk

Declaration of Interests - Explained

Definition of Interests

A Member has a **PERSONAL INTEREST** if the issue being discussed at a meeting affects the well-being or finances of the Member, the Member's family or a close associate more than most other people who live in the ward affected by the issue.

Personal interests are also things relating to an interest the Member must register, such as any outside bodies to which the Member has been appointed by the Council or membership of certain public bodies.

A personal interest is also a **PREJUDICIAL INTEREST** if it affects:

- > The finances, or
- A regulatory function (such as licensing or planning)

Of the Member, the Member's family or a close associate **AND** which a reasonable member of the public with knowledge of the facts would believe likely to harm or impair the Member's ability to judge the public interest.

Declaring Interests

If a Member has an interest they must normally declare it at the start of the meeting or as soon as they realise they have the interest.

EXCEPTION:

If a Member has a **PERSONAL INTEREST** which arises because of membership of another public body the Member only needs to declare it if and when they speak on the matter.

If a Member has both a **PERSONAL AND PREJUDICIAL INTEREST** they must not debate or vote on the matter and must leave the room.

EXCEPTION:

If a Member has a prejudicial interest in a matter being discussed at a meeting at which members of the public are allowed to make representations, give evidence or answer questions about the matter, the Member has the same rights as the public and can also attend the meeting to make representations, give evidence or answer questions **BUT THE MEMBER MUST LEAVE THE ROOM ONCE THEY HAVE FINISHED AND CANNOT DEBATE OR VOTE.**

However, the Member must not use these rights to seek to improperly influence a decision in which they have a prejudicial interest.

For further information please contact Committee Services, Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services, Bromsgrove District Council, The Council House, Burcot Lane, Bromsgrove, B60 1AA

Tel: 01527 873232 Fax: 01527 881414

Web: www.bromsgrove.gov.uk email: committee@bromsgrove.gov.uk

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEETING OF THE CABINET

WEDNESDAY, 22ND JUNE 2011 AT 4.00 P.M.

PRESENT: Councillors R. Hollingworth (Chairman), Mrs. M. A. Sherrey JP (Vice-

Chairman), M. A. Bullivant, C. B. Taylor and M. J. A. Webb (during part of

Minute No. 19/11 to Minute No. 20/11)

Observers: Councillor Mrs. J. M. L. A. Griffiths

Officers: Mr. K. Dicks, Ms. J. Pickering, Mr. J. Godwin, Ms. D. Poole, Mr.

G. Revans, Ms C. John and Ms. R. Cole

13/11 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Dr. D. W. P. Booth JP.

14/11 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

No declarations of interest were received.

15/11 **MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet held on 1st June 2011 were submitted.

RESOLVED that the minutes be approved as a correct record.

16/11 OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD

The minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Board held on 13th June 2011 were submitted.

RESOLVED:

- (a) that the minutes be noted;
- (b) that the recommendation contained at 6/11 relating to the report template be approved; and
- (c) that the recommendation contained at 7/11 relating to the inclusion of sickness absence in the performance information received by the Board be approved.

17/11 AUDIT BOARD

The minutes of the meeting of the Audit Board held on 9th June 2011 were submitted.

Cabinet 22nd June 2011

RESOLVED:

- (a) that the minutes be noted;
- (b) that the recommendation contained at 6/10 relating to the implementation of processes and procedures in relation to the Bribery Act 2010 be approved;
- (c) that the recommendations contained at 7/11 relating to International Financial Reporting Standards and Accounting Policies be approved.

18/11 VERBAL UPDATES FROM THE LEADER AND/OR OTHER CABINET MEMBERS ON ANY RECENT MEETINGS ATTENDED IN AN EX-OFFICIO CAPACITY

No updates were received on this occasion.

19/11 FINANCIAL OUTTURN REPORT 2010/11

The Cabinet considered a report on financial information for the year ended 31st March 2011. The information included the Revenue and Capital outturn figures and the Annual Governance Statement.

As part of discussion on this item the Climate Change Manager reported that there was an opportunity, as part of the Government's current Feed in Tariff Scheme (FiTS), for the Authority to benefit from the installation of electricity generating solar panels at the Council's Depot. It was noted this would cost £68,000 which could be met from Capital receipts but that the panels would reduce electricity costs at the Depot and generate income for the Authority through the FiTS.

RESOLVED that the outturn financial information on Revenue and Capital for 2010/2011 be noted.

RECOMMENDED:

- (a) that the increase in the Capital Programme for 2011/2012 of £2.479m in relation to the carry forward requests as set out in Appendix 1 to the report be approved:
- (b) that £5,587 be released from balances following the abolition of Lickey End Parish Council:
- (c) that the Annual Governance Statement as set out in Appendix 2 to the report be approved; and
- (d) that the Capital Programme for 2011/2012 be increased by £68,000 to meet the cost of solar panels to be installed at the Council's Depot to be funded by Capital receipts.

20/11 FINANCIAL RESERVES STATEMENT 2010/2011

The Cabinet considered a report on earmarked reserves for 2010/2011 and on the proposal to create new reserves where required to support the future plans of the Authority.

Cabinet 22nd June 2011

RECOMMENDED:

- (a) that the establishment of new reserves of £474,000 as set out in Appendix 1 to the report be approved;
- (b) that the release of reserves of £419,000 which reflects the approval required for January March 2011 as set out in Appendix 2 to the report be approved; and
- (c) that the addition to existing reserves of £289,000 which reflects the approval required for January March 2011 as set out in Appendix 2 to the report be approved.

The meeting closed at 4.50 p.m.

<u>Chairman</u>

This page is intentionally left blank

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEETING OF THE WORCESTERSHIRE SHARED SERVICES JOINT COMMITTEE THURSDAY, 23RD JUNE 2011 AT 4.00 P.M.

PRESENT: Councillors M. A. Bullivant, C. B. Taylor, Mrs. B. Behan, P. Grove,

M. Braley, Mrs. L. Hodgson (Vice-Chairman), F. Lankester, A. N. Blagg,

Mrs. E. Stokes, K. Jennings, J. Baker and M. Hart

Observers: Mr. V. Allison, Deputy Managing Director, Wychavon District Council and Mr. M. Parker, Management Board Chairman, Wyre Forest

District Council

Invitees: Mr. I. Edwards, Regulatory Services Project Manager

Officers: Ms. J. Pickering, Mr. S. Jorden, Mrs. S. Sellers and Ms. P. Ross

1/11 **ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN**

RESOLVED that Councillor Mrs. L. Hodgson, Worcester City Council be elected as Chairman of the Joint Committee for the ensuing municipal year.

2/11 **ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN**

RESOLVED that Councillor M. Braley, Redditch Borough Council be elected as Vice-Chairman of the Joint Committee for the ensuing municipal year.

3/11 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors P. Mould, Redditch Borough Council, D. Thain and A. Hardman (substitute for D. Thain), Worcestershire County Council.

4/11 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

No declarations of interest were received.

5/11 **MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting of the Worcestershire Shared Services Joint Committee held on 28th February 2011 were submitted.

RESOLVED that the minutes be approved as a correct record.

Worcestershire Shared Services Joint Committee 23rd June 2011

6/11 WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES ANNUAL REPORT 2010 - 2011

The Committee considered the Worcestershire Regulatory Services Annual Report for the period 1st June 2010 to 31st March 2011.

The Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services thanked Members for attending the recent Worcestershire Regulatory Services Joint Committee Update Sessions.

The Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services informed the Committee that under the Worcestershire Shared Services Partnership Agreement the Joint Committee was required to receive the annual report at its annual meeting. Members were asked to note that Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS) did not start operating until 1st June 2010 and therefore the annual report would cover the period from 1st June 2010 until 31st March 2011.

The Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services responded to Councillor C. B. Taylor, Bromsgrove District Council regarding communication and the difficulties he had encountered whilst trying to contact and locate WRS officers at Wyatt House. He informed Members that he had been made aware there had been some communication problems and that WRS would be fully launched during July 2011 to ensure that both the public and officers were made aware of WRS contact details and relocation to Wyatt House.

The Executive Director, Finance and Corporate Resource, Bromsgrove District Council responded to Councillor P. Grove, Malvern Hills District Council regarding financial information detailed in the annual report.

RESOLVED:

- (a) that the Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS) Annual Report 2010 / 2011 be noted; and
- (b) that a copy of the WRS Annual Report 2010 / 2011 be forwarded to the Chief Executive of each member authority.

7/11 <u>WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES PROJECT MANAGERS</u> <u>UPDATE</u>

Mr. I. Edwards, Regulatory Services Project Manager provided Members with a summary of the progress against plans for the period 29th February 2011 to 23rd June 2011. He informed Members of the key tasks and achievements within each work stream and the revised project end date which had been extended by 3 months. The original project end date of March 2012 had been moved to June 2012 following the revised dates for the delivery of the transformation work and the subsequent impact this had on the start of the ICT procurement and development process.

Worcestershire Shared Services Joint Committee 23rd June 2011

8/11 WORCESTERSHIRE REGULATORY SERVICES JOINT COMMITTEE BUDGET MONITORING - JUNE 2010 TO MARCH 2011

The Committee considered a report which detailed the financial position for the period June 2010 to March 2011. The report reflected the final position for the financial year 2010 / 2011 subject to external audit review in July / August 2011.

The Executive Director, Finance and Corporate Resources, Bromsgrove District Council introduced the report and informed the Committee that the formal Statement of Accounts would be presented to the next meeting of the Joint Committee. The report requested that Members considered the savings accrued during the year and the proposal to return 50 percent of this saving to the participating member authorities.

The Executive Director, Finance and Corporate Resources, Bromsgrove District Council responded to Councillor P. Grove, Malvern Hills District Council regarding miscellaneous expenses.

Further discussion followed on the budget monitoring and the need for more detailed information to be provided on miscellaneous expenses.

RESOLVED:

- (a) that the financial position for the period June 2010 to March 2011 be noted; and
- (b) that the refund of £300,000 to the participating Councils from the 2010 / 2011 underspend based on the original 2010 / 2011 partner shares, as set out below, to be repaid in 2011 / 2012, be approved.

	% Share	Repaid 2011/12 £
Bromsgrove	10.45%	31,363
City	12.31%	36,929
County	28.90%	86,700
Malvern Hills	9.78%	29,328
Redditch	10.40%	31,191
Wychavon	17.59%	52,780
Wyre Forest	10.57%	31,710
		300,000

The meeting closed at 5.02 p.m.

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL AND BROMSGROVE DISTRICTION 5

SHARED SERVICES BOARD

30th June 2011 at 5.30pm

COMMITTEE ROOM 3, TOWN HALL, REDDITCH

Present: Councillors Carole Gandy (Chair), Bill Hartnett, Michael Braley and

Malcolm Hall (Redditch Borough Council)
Councillors Steve Colella and Margaret Sherrey

(Bromsgrove District Council)

Also in attendance: Cllr Pearce (Observer, RBC)

Officers: Ruth Bamford, Kevin Dicks, Sue Hanley, Helen Mole and

Deb Poole.

Notes: Steve Skinner.

1. APOLOGIES

There were no apologies for absence.

Cllr Gandy welcomed Cllr Sherrey to her first meeting of the Board.

2. MINUTES

The minutes of the previous meeting of the Board held on 22nd March 2011 were agreed as a correct record.

There were no matters arising.

3. PROGRESS REPORT

The Board considered a report in respect of all elements of the Shared Service work involving Redditch Borough and Bromsgrove District Councils undertaken to date. The report had been made available to all members of both Councils.

The report detailed progress, service by service, by reference to a traffic light status system, which indicated whether the service was:

- Green on track to achieve the stated benefits and performing satisfactorily.
- <u>Amber</u> experiencing some performance issues and/or issues with achieving stated benefits, but which were expected to be eventually resolved.
- <u>Red</u> experiencing some performance issues and/or issues with achieving the stated benefits which were not all expected to be resolved.

Officers provided further clarification in response to a range of detailed points raised by Members, which did not trigger any significant additional matters or actions.

Members then considered the recommendation to bring forward consideration of a Single Business Case to the Board's August meeting. Officers explained that a single management structure would improve subsequent transformation processes considerably, as well as bringing greater clarity to staff; avoiding unnecessary delays; and achieving some earlier savings.

Mr Dicks explained that it would not, in all cases go the whole way down a structure, for example where local delivery of a service was very different in each Council, such as in Customer Services.

Members agreed that it was important to maintain the momentum achieved to date in the roll-out of shared services and that what was now being proposed was the best plan. It appeared to be essentially supported by staff and Trade Unions and enabled staff to better plan for their futures and, although a challenging prospect, worth doing sooner rather than later.

Finally, with reference to the financial summaries, appended to the report, Members were keen to see further evidence that costs (Revenue and Capital) and savings were being accurately reallocated across both Councils. Officers agreed to take this comment on board.

It was AGREED that

1) Members note progress to date, as detailed in the report; and

RECOMMENDED that

2) Officers be tasked with producing, for the August meeting of the Board, a Single Business Case that will identify the benefits and risks associated with moving the remaining services into a shared environment by the end of the calendar year, in so far as this is currently achievable, between Bromsgrove District and Redditch Borough Councils.

4. LOCAL LAND CHARGES SERVICE – BUSINESS CASE

Mrs Bamford, as relevant Head of Service, presented the Business Case for a shared Local Land Charges Service. In doing so, she tabled representations very recently received from two members of staff. These were noted and referred to the later consultation round on the proposals.

The Board noted that four options had been considered:

- Option 1 No change to existing arrangements;
- Option 2 Transform first, then Shared Service;
- Option 3 Contract Out; and
- Option 4 Two-stage approach whereby the service is shared and then interim measures put in place to achieve transformation, followed by a further review of staff and resources once transformation is complete.

The recommendation before Members was for Option 4.

Members noted the current differences between the existing provision of Local Land Charges Services at Redditch and Bromsgrove, and also the potential for a very different kind of service if maximum use was made of current IT capabilities.

It was noted that, before this could occur, much data loading and checking would be required to ensure all electronic records were fully up to date and accurate, subject to which, access to the service could be far less Officer-reliant. Some of this work would be the responsibility of Local Land Charges staff themselves, and some the responsibility of contributing services, such as Planning, Environmental Health and Highways.

Therefore the preferred option was for a two-stage approach: one permanent full time employee was currently proposed, plus a further half-time post until such time as this second post was thought to be no longer required. Subject to later consultation and further consideration this proposal might yet change.

Members noted that, in terms of fee income, the Local Land Charges Service was not allowed to generate a surplus, only cover its costs. It was therefore accepted that, in this case, there might not be a great advantage in financial terms. However the proposal generally helped to move both Councils closer towards Transformation.

It was AGREED that

the delivery of a Shared Local Land Charges Service, provided by a single Team hosted by Bromsgrove District Council (Option 4) be recommended to both the Redditch Borough Council Executive Committee and Bromsgrove District Council Cabinet.

5. <u>BUILDING CONTROL SERVICES – BUSINESS CASE</u>

Mrs Bamford, as relevant Head of Service, presented the Business Case for sharing Building Control Services across Redditch, Bromsgrove and Wyre Forest Districts.

Shared Services Board - 30th June 2011

The Board noted that six options had been considered:

- Option 1 No change to existing arrangements;
- Option 2 Minor changes only;
- Option 3 Shared Service between Redditch and Bromsgrove only;
- Option 4 Outsource the service;
- Option 5 County-wide Building Control Service;
- Option 6 North Worcestershire Shared Service between Redditch, Bromsgrove and Wyre Forest Districts.

The recommendation before Members was for Option 6.

This proposal offered significant savings, partly deriving from reduced staff costs. Management arrangements would be similar to those proposed for the North Worcestershire Economic Development Shared Service.

Members noted the rationale for Bromsgrove to host this service was more complex than simply because it was geographically the central point. This was a service which has less need for a direct public interface at each Council office. However, Members acknowledged the need to maintain high levels of 'local knowledge', which was one reason that a County-wide option had not been recommended.

It was AGREED that

the following be recommended to the respective decisionmaking bodies of the three constituent local authorities:

- 1) in accordance with the agreed PID dated 9th December 2010, the three partner Councils proceed with the creation of the shared service in accordance with recommendation 6: the delivery of Shared Building Control Services, provided by a single Team, hosted by Bromsgrove District Council;
- 2) implementation costs be shared on the same basis as the total revised service costs amongst the three Councils to reflect the percentage of the initial budget allocation into the service (as detailed at Appendix 5 to the report); this to be subject to final financial agreements being in place between the partner authorities; and
- 3) the new service be known as 'North Worcestershire Building Control' and use a service specific logo and document templates, the design of which is to be formally agreed before the completion of this project.

6. <u>DATE OF NEXT MEETING</u>

It was noted that the next scheduled meeting would be held on Thursday 18th August 2011 at 5.30 p.m. in Committee Room 3 at Redditch Town Hall.

The meeting closed at 6.55 p.m.

This page is intentionally left blank

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL AND REDDTICH BOROUGH COUNCIL

SHARED SERVICE BOARD

30th June 2011

PROGRESS REPORT

1. SUMMARY

This report seeks to provide an update with regard to all elements of the Shared Services work involving Bromsgrove and Redditch Council's.

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that Members note the progress to date and agree to Task Officers with producing a single business case for the August Board meeting that will identify the benefits and risks associated with moving the remaining services into a shared environment by the end of the calendar year, in so far as this is currently achievable, between Bromsgrove District and Redditch Borough Council

3. SHARED SERVICE AND TRANSFORMATION PROGRAMME – PROJECTS UNDERWAY

3.1 SHARED SERVICES

The Status traffic light indicates whether the service is:

- on track to achieve the stated benefits and performing satisfactorily green.
- experiencing some performance issues and/or issues with achieving stated benefits, but these are expected to be eventually resolved – amber.
- experiencing some performance issues and/or issues with achieving the stated benefits and these are not all expected to be resolved – red.

3.2 HR & OD (Amber)

Bromsgrove employees TUPE transferred to Redditch Borough Council on 1st March 2011. The posts in the new structure have been assessed through the, yet to be implemented, Redditch Borough Council Job Evaluation scheme by West Midlands Council's. West Midlands Council's were engaged to complete this stage of the process because it is inappropriate for the Officers in this service area to evaluate the posts for their own service area. During the audit of the evaluation a number of issues were identified regarding the use of the Job Evaluation scheme in a Shared Service environment. Further issues have also arisen with respect to the Change Support Strategy in terms of protection periods and the used of existing and proposed salary grades. The combination of these issues has given rise to the delayed implementation of the proposed HR and OD Shared Service structure. A revised timetable has been prepared for consultation on the proposed structure to commence on the 27th June assuming that the above issues are resolved by that time. The team are providing a Shared Service across both authorities. Temporary

staff have been engaged to cover the vacant Training & OD Officer and the Assistant HR Officer posts in the proposed structure.

3.3 <u>LEISURE (Green)</u>

The project has reached the implementation phase and the following actions have been completed or commenced.

- All job description and person specs have been completed and reviewed on the job evaluation model, final comment is being received from the external auditor and these will be addressed accordingly.
- BDC staff have transferred under TUPE to RBC employment in line with the business case and host authority arrangements. Formal Staff and Trade Union consultations has commenced with all staff relating to the recruitment and redeployment phase of the project.
- All matters relating to the interview and assessment centre processes have commenced and are on schedule. Work has also commenced within RBC on all service reviews highlighted within the business case that were out side of the Shared Service, these reviews are completed (internal applications) with final interviews taking place for vacant posts on Friday 17th July.
- At this stage it is envisage that the agreed implementation date is 1st August.

3.4 <u>EMERGENCY PLANNING/BUSINESS CONTINUITY (Green)</u>

- 3.4.1 The present in-house emergency planning arrangements of the three North Worcestershire Authorities are supported through a county-wide Service Level Agreement with the County Council (WCC) which expires in April 2012. It is therefore timely to review the in-house arrangements and look at alternative ways of delivering the service.
- 3.4.2 A meeting has been held with the responsible Director at WFDC who is in agreement that there are likely to be benefits in terms of resources and resilience by pursuing Emergency Planning on a North Worcestershire basis.
- 3.4.3 A PID has been produced and agreed and the business case will be considered by the Shared Service & Transformation Programme Board on 22 June 2011.
- 3.4.4 In terms of BDC & RBC there is now a single Emergency Planning Team operating with joint Emergency and Rest Centre Plans. Business continuity arrangements are also being looked at with regard to compliance with the relevant British Standard.

3.5 CAR PARKS (Green)

3.5.1 The PID for the review of the car parks service is complete. However, given that one of the options for a Shared Service is to work with Wychavon DC, Tim Deakin from WDC gave a presentation to the Bromsgrove Leaders Group (13th April) at which the group agreed the principle of a wider

Shared Service and asked Officers to prepare the business case including the adoption of on street civil enforcement.

- 3.5.2 Officers have completed the first draft of the business case and are working with Wychavon and the finance teams to finalise the financial model. It is anticipated that this will reported to the Shared Service Board on 18th August 2011.
- 3.5.3 It is anticipated the Shared Service will be implemented early in 2012.

3.6 <u>BUILDING CONTROL (Green)</u>

Business case on agenda for approval.

3.7 <u>LAND CHARGES (Green)</u>

Business case on agenda for approval.

3.8 BEREAVEMENT SERVICES (Red)

This was delayed because the initial business case was showing very limited savings. This is due to the small size of the team and also the current processes and procedures that are used by the team. Given that the team are already working as a Shared Service it was decided that the Bereavement Service needs to undertake a formal transformation intervention to look at the service in a holistic way and reduce waste that should lead to sustainable savings for the longer term.

4. OVERALL TRANSFORMATION PROGRAMME

- 4.1 The Transformation Programme commenced within Revenue and Benefits, teams are working closely with Housing due to the many connection links. Introduction sessions for all 4th tier managers have taken place to familiarise them with the systems thinking method. Staff briefings have taken place in early June for staff at both Councils. Introduction sessions for Portfolio Holders, Leaders & Deputy leaders at Redditch Borough Council and Bromsgrove District Council have been arranged for July and early August. Similar sessions will be held for all Members in early August.
- 4.2 Corporate Management Team attend regular transformation workshops, and are experiencing capturing demand from customers, looking at services first hand and learning more about the systems thinking method and how it works.
- 4.3 A five day scoping exercise has been completed in Environmental Services to help the team prepare for further transformation work later in the year.
- 4.4 A stakeholder briefing for our partners, linking in with Revenue & Benefits and Housing is scheduled for 11th July 2011 so we can share our plans for the future and to hopefully get them on board with systems thinking on order to maximise the opportunities.
- 4.5 We are utilising all communication channels to share as much information as possible with colleagues.

5. REVENUES AND BENEFITS

- This is the first area of work that is being looked at through Systems Thinking. Two core teams, one from Revenues and one from Benefits, have visited departments at both councils to listen to customer enquiries (known as customer demand). The teams seek to capture customer demand through listening to phone calls, observing face to face customer enquiries and looking at written correspondence. They have followed these from the beginning, through all the processes and procedures until the customer has received an answer or outcome.
- Whilst the work was primarily focused on Revenues and Benefits the overall aim is to improve financial inclusion of our residents as such the work will touch all areas of the Councils work. With this in mind everyone has had their part to play in the Revenues and Benefits' Transformation project. In order for this to be successful all staff who have been approached to take part have been open, honest and willing to take a short amount of time, to share what they do, their system and their processes.
- The Lead on this area of work is Teresa Kristunas and is leading the teams through the processes and dealing with any blockages or issues as they arise. Those involved are being supported by David Neil from IEWM (Improvement, Efficiency West Midlands) who is a specialist in systems thinking and accredited by Vanguard. We are currently holding weekly feedback sessions with Kevin Dicks and will be passing on findings to the Shared Services and Transformation Programme Board as well as colleagues at both Councils via all communication channels.
- The teams have now completed capturing data and information and are now looking at re-design and will be experimenting shortly.

6 SHARED SERVICES TIMELINE

- Members have approved a timeline for the delivery of business cases that would determine the suitability in each remaining service area for Shared Service delivery. In order that both Councils deliver quality services to its residents it is necessary from time to time to revisit this programme and the extent to which it may need to be reviewed or revised to take account of a changing environment. Officers and Members now have the benefit of experience that historical Shared Service reviews have given and the extent to which any future programme may now need to be revised to take account of this learning.
- In addition it is fair to say that both Councils have for some time recognised the value and quality of front line services for residents as the cornerstone of our business and to this end members will be aware that both Councils are working through a programme of transformation that seeks to establish the delivery of each service area from the perspective of the customer. This in turn enables the Councils to ensure that processes and procedures that underpin service delivery are fit for purpose and ensure quality and efficiency are maintained at all times.
- As part of the process for managing transformation and Shared Services it is imperative that the impact of this change is assessed and managed at all times and Members and Senior Officers have been clear that wherever possible Officers need to manage the impact of change so as to ensure the best possible standards of service delivery at all times.

In the circumstances it is proposed that whereas previously a staged approach had been planned for officers to explore the extent to which benefits would be realised both operationally and financially from sharing services between the two Councils that a single business case be developed for presentation to the August Board for all remaining services. This would enable transformation to be undertaken more easily with single teams in place, would provide greater certainty for staff (albeit briefly due to the fundamental changes that would be brought about by transformation activity) and would release additional savings more quickly.

7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 The ongoing saving delivered through the single management team was approximately £478k in 2010/11. This saving is estimated to increase in 2011/12 to £548k in 2011/12 following the initial support for implementation that will be required in the Councils.
- In addition further savings have been delivered through the implementation of shared services across the Councils. The position for 2010/11 is shown at Appendix A. As a result of the savings that have been made across the Councils services during 2010/11 it has been recommended that reserves are set aside to fund costs associated with the implementation of future shared services and transformation of projects. The initial costs will include severance and set up costs and will ensure that significant savings are delivered in future years to offset the financial reductions in grant settlements that the Council faces over the next few years. In addition the availability of set up funds will ensure that services can be delivered in the most efficient and streamlined way to meet customer needs.
- 7.3 There are a number of Shared Services that have been implemented including Community Safety and Elections that do not realise cash efficiencies but have provided increased resilience and improvement across both Councils. The procurement Shared Service ensures that a joint approach to procurement is undertaken across Worcestershire and other participating Council's to receive maximum savings from the economies of scale. Due to the financial position that the Councils are faced it is anticipated that all future shared arrangements will deliver savings to the Councils.
- As part of the budget process estimates have been included for the savings to be realised during 2011/12-2013/14. It is assumed that over £2m can be realised during this period from shared services and transformation to offset the impact on front line services from the Central Government over the spending review period. The recommendation, as included in this report, to deliver the shared services across the Councils as one review will ensure that the savings required can be realised during the period required.

8. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

None arising directly from this report – these will be addressed as each proposal is brought forward for consideration.

9. COUNCIL OBJECTIVES

Each Council will need to ensure any proposals support its own Council Objectives.

10. RISK MANAGEMENT.

- None arising directly from this report however it is envisaged that the approach to Risk Management will operate at 2 levels:
 - (a) Risk mitigation/controls for respective proposals/services.
 - (b) Ongoing assessment of the short/long term risks contained within the original feasibility report.
- A joint Corporate Risk Register is being developed for 2011/12 which will address the risks associated with the delivery of the Shared Service and Transformation Programme. These will continue to be monitored as part of the Wider Risk Management considerations at the Audit Board and Audit and Governance Committee.
- In terms of the ongoing assessment of the short/long term risks contained within the original business case an update is provided at Appendix B. Members are asked to consider the risk register in order to ensure it includes all risks and that members are comfortable with mitigation.

11. CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS

No direct impact on the Customer arising from this report, although indirectly the intention of each area is to deliver efficiencies/savings or improve service quality to the ultimate benefit of the customer.

12. <u>EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS</u>

There are none directly arising from this report. However, there are likely to be relevant equalities and diversity implications both for service users and for staff arising from the single business case and its details for individual services. It is recognised that there will need to be further consideration of these aspects and the implications for groups with protected characteristics as the detailed proposals emerge for those services yet to be shared. The overall implications of the move to sharing all remaining services on a as proposed within this report will also be discussed as part of the consultation with staff and trades unions.

13. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

Value for Money and delivery of efficiencies is the driving force behind Shared Services.

14. HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

14.1 The impact of the Shared Service Programme will affect the majority of staff within the organisations and Managers are committed to ensure that any risks of challenge and staff morale are mitigated. The shared HR & OD service will ensure that capacity is available to provide support and advice to staff and legal advice is sought on a regular basis to ensure that the Shared Service proposed changes to staff will not result in a challenge from any staff affected.

- There are regular Trade Union informal liaison meetings and staff forums to discuss current progress on the service changes. In addition staff and the Trade Unions are kept fully appraised of proposals including formal consultation and input when developing new structures for delivery of services. This has been the case in all shared services currently implemented and the arrangements have been well received by unions and staff.
- The terms and conditions formal negotiation with the unions concluded with the acceptance of a collective agreement. This demonstrated that engaging with the staff and unions would deliver a positive outcome to all involved.
- 14.4 There are a number of policies which are to be presented to Members at both Councils over the next couple of months to incorporate the changes and to ensure that there is a consistent approach to Human Resource policy and procedure across the two Councils.

15. GOVERNANCE / PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

New Performance Management arrangements have been streamlined since the last board meeting to reduce duplication within the report.

16. LIVE SHARED SERVICES

- 16.1 The Status traffic light indicates whether the service is:
 - On track to achieve the stated benefits and performing satisfactorily green.
 - Experiencing some performance issues and/or issues with achieving stated benefits, but these are expected to be eventually resolved – amber.
 - Experiencing some performance issues and/or issues with achieving the stated benefits and these are not all expected to be resolved red.

16.2 <u>COMMUNITY SAFETY (Green)</u>

The Community Safety Shared service has been in operation since June 2009. All outcomes and benefits identified in the business case have been achieved and the service is performing well. Implementation and delivery of the Community Safety Shared Service has been 'cost neutral' to each authority with respective Councils operating budgets remaining separate, managed by RBC as the host authority.

16.3 <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (Green)</u>

- 16.3.1 The Economic Development & Regeneration Shared Service for North Worcestershire took effect on 1st June 2011 and is now hosted by Wyre Forest District Council.
- 16.3.2 Ken Harrison, the new Head of Service, held some induction sessions on the day to iron out any immediate issues and he has also visited the Redditch & Bromsgrove offices. The new structure for the service has been the subject of consultation and is now agreed and work has commenced on mapping/allocating staff to the next tier of posts in the structure. Interview dates have been arranged where there is competition for posts and a date has also been set for the first meeting of the Client Management Group.

16.3.3 Please note, no benefits realisation summary has been completed, due to services only went live on 1st June 2011.

16.4 <u>ELECTIONS (Green)</u>

- 16.4.1 The Elections Shared Service project has now been completed on time and to budget. No savings were identified within the business case as the project was intended to create capacity and service resilience across the two Councils.
- 16.4.2 Status meetings between the two Councils and their respective Returning Officers continue and these were increased during the period of the election to ensure that constant and time critical support was afforded to both Councils at this time.
- 16.4.3 Initial discussions have taken place into the likely structural changes that will occur due to the retirement of the Senior Electoral Officer.
- As part of the 2010/11 work programme various democratic participation workshops were carried out including 'would be councillor' sessions and interactive workshops with New College in Bromsgrove and Redditch. All of these activities fed into the wider performance measure to increase voter participation.

16.5 <u>CT (Green)</u>

- 16.5.1 The ICT Shared Service phase one project has now been completed and is live. All of the stated aims in the business case have been met.
- The configuration and testing of disaster recovery between BDC and RBC is ongoing. An unscheduled test was performed in late May when ICT staff were informed that three core systems had failed and a disaster recovery situation was underway. The test was very successful with all failed applications and current data being recovered across the new virtual environment. If the test had been real there would have been minimal disruption to service.
- The ongoing virus issue at RBC has been contained. New antivirus software has been purchased and is being scheduled for rollout at RBC. This will improve the security and virus protection across the whole of the RBC section of the infrastructure.
- A pilot is underway to test the use of Sun Ray devices on the corporate network. Sun Ray devices replace standard PCs and provide a virtual desktop from the network. Whilst the cost of a Sun Ray device is only marginally less than a standard PC they do not need to be replaced as often. A PC has a usable life of between three and five years. Sun Rays can be used for ten years.

16.6 CCTV & LIFELINE (Green)

16.6.1 CCTV and Lifeline Shared Service has been completed. The technical works and integration of the two services were completed in June 2010, closing the BDC site on the 9th of June 2010 (one month ahead of schedule and within budget). Staffing restructure was completed by July. CCTV, Out of Hours, Business Continuity, Lifeline monitoring, Lifeline Installation and Lifeline administration procedures have been reviewed, revised and harmonized where possible to meet the needs of both authorities. Telecare Services Association (TSA) accreditation has been achieved after a rigorous inspection.

- 16.6.2 Staff training is ongoing. Bromsgrove installation office has now closed with all Officers working out of the Redditch Borough Council Town Hall.
- 16.6.3 Although the service is yet to run for a full financial year, savings against last years budget were £116,663 at Redditch and £59,168 at Bromsgrove with an additional saving of £81,000 already accounted for making the total saving for BDC £140,168.

16.7 PAYROLL (Green)

16.7.1 From 1st April 2011 Redditch Borough Council Payroll Team undertook to provide a payroll service for Wyre Forest District Council. In order to facilitate this a member of Wyre Forest payroll service was TUPE transferred to the Council. A significant amount of preparatory work was undertaken prior to the 1st April but this did not prevent some IT issues arising at both Redditch and Wyre Forest during `going live'. This did not prevent the employees and members at Wyre Forest being paid correctly and on time.

16.8 PROCUREMENT (Green)

The share Procurement Service continues to support Officers to use procure processes to generate cashable savings for both authorities. The Procurement Team are currently, in conjunction with Finance Officers, in the process of implementing a new mobile phone contract for Bromsgrove and Redditch that it is estimated will deliver savings of £13.2k against an annual spend of £54k. Working with officers from a range of service areas the Procurement Team are approaching contractors with a view to reducing costs on existing contracts.

16.9 CLIMATE CHANGE (Green)

- The Shared Service is progressing in accordance with the agreed work programme. The Joint Climate Change Strategy was approved by Redditch Borough Council's Executive and by Bromsgrove District Council Cabinet. The Strategy provides a route map for reducing our CO2 emissions. Its primary focus is our assets, as approximately 80% of our emissions come from our buildings.
- The Climate Change Manager has been successful in obtaining further SALIX funding, an interest free loan to help pay for work to our properties e.g. pipe lagging, energy management systems etc. The budget bids for solar panels was successful in Redditch, but not in Bromsgrove. The bids were for £180,000 and £20,000 respectively (an additional £270K is available from housing capital budget, for St David's House and Queens Cottages, which also forms part of this scheme). The bid was much lower in Bromsgrove because the amount of building roof space available is much less (the Depot is the only appropriate site). A meeting has been arranged with the Bromsgrove Finance and Resources Portfolio Holder to discuss the £20,000 bid which could be significantly increased if members wish.

- The Government feed in tariff scheme is being changed and the changes are currently being consulted on we have commented accordingly. These changes have been discussed with the Redditch portfolio holder and will be discussed in a report will go to a special Climate Change Advisory Panel in June on whether the Solar Panel scheme should go ahead, in light of this and concerns raised by a Member, which officers believe they should.
- 16.9.4 Redditch has also had considerable media coverage on our decision to use a heat exchange pipe between the crematorium and the new Abbey Stadium and has now been successful in obtaining a "Green Apple" award. A number of requests to speak at conferences are now being received. We hope to identify innovative opportunities for CO2 reduction as part of the Bromsgrove town centre regeneration and have recently met with the Town Centre Regeneration Manager to discuss opportunities.

16.10 POLICY PERFORMANCE AND PARTNERSHIPS (Green)

- The PPP shared service went live on 20 June. The savings target will be exceeded. Design, Print and Post are now being subject to review and it is anticipated that the total savings for PPP as a % of the pay bill will be in the region of 26% possibly rising to 39%. The service has also delivered additional savings, particularly, in Bromsgrove through the reduction of two editions of Together Bromsgrove (£10,000 per annum), and ending of the U Decide project (£10,000 per annum).
- Despite delivering these significant savings the department has and is delivering the business case objectives. Capacity in the Communications Team is tight and the team have had a very hectic start with the elections, production of the summer editions of Together Bromsgrove and Redditch Matters and a series of awkward press queries and television appearances; however, feedback from Members is very positive and the team are respected by managers.
- 16.10.3 The performance management framework is well established in both Councils, but will see significant change this year as a result of systems thinking.
- 16.10.4 Community engagement activity continues across both councils with Roadshows. taking place in Redditch and Street Theatre coming to Bromsgrove shortly. In addition, a number of customer surveys have been produced, as the request of departments to help us track better improving customer satisfaction
- 16.10.5 The equalities agenda is well established in Bromsgrove, but needs an increased focus in Redditch, with more recruitment needed to the Community Forum and as part of this, we need to reach out to some of the more hard to reach communities, particularly, the Pakistani community. The focus on equalities will be around community engagement rather than legal compliance, but the equalities officer does have a role in ensuring that both councils operate within the law.

WETT SERVICES

16.12 INTERNAL AUDIT (Green)

The Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service (WIASS) is now fully operational. During the last quarter a new staffing structure for the service was proposed and recruited to. The 2010/11 and 2011/12 Audit Plan have been amended, in agreement with Executive Director (Finance & Corporate Resources) and the Audit Board/Audit & Governance Committee, to reflect to resources available within the new service. Performance of the WIASS is reported to the Audit Board/Audit & Governance Committee.

16.13 PROPERTY SERVICES (Green)

- 16.13.1 The ex-employees from Bromsgrove District Council who had TUPE transferred to Worcestershire County Council under the WETT Property Service were returned to the employment of Bromsgrove District Council on the 1st April following the decision of Council to withdraw from the WETT Property Service.
- 16.13.2 Redditch Borough Council has continued to participate in the WETT Property Service. The service continues to improve as relationships and a greater understanding of the scope of service requirements develop

REGULATORY (Amber)

- 16.13.3 ICT cost benefit analysis data gathering continues with a workshop arranged for the 13th June 2011. The aim of the workshop is to begin to finalise recommendations for the WRS management board. ICT procurement will not begin until systems' thinking has been well developed and the cost benefit analysis completed.
- 16.13.4 Systems' thinking is progressing well. Two 'check teams' have been trained and begun looking at two key work streams, complaints and routine inspections. Staff in the 'check teams' have been taken off line for approximately 3 weeks to undertake the 'check', this may cause a small dip in performance as resources are stretched.
- 16.13.5 The teams are currently experimenting with the new way of working and developing its approach to 'rolling in' the rest of the staff. Early indications are positive in that the customer experience has improved and that both capacity and capability will be more clearly defined in then future.
- 16.13.6 Performance measures for the service have been agreed by the Management Board and will now form part of the quarterly performance report to the Joint Committee and Management Board. The performance measures are more outcomes focused, with a move away from the more traditional National Indicators and numbers of inspections etc. It is anticipated that the new measures will be a more accurate reflection of how the shared service is performing.

- 16.13.7 The service also arranged two events for Joint Committee and Management Board members on the 7th and 14th June. The purpose of these events was to:
 - Update attendees on the progress of the WRS
 - Provide a local and national Government context to the Service
 - Transformation. Report on the background, progress and early outcomes of the transformation work
- 16.13.8 Both events were well attended and received numerous positive contents by those attending indicating that the sessions proved beneficial to Members in particular.
- 16.13.9 All staff have now moved into Wyatt House and whilst there have been significant IT challenges; teams are working closer together, much more focused on improving service delivery.
- 16.13.10 Much more needs to be done however to embed systems thinking and complete the transformation of seven different services into one!

Project timescales

- 16.13.11 Following revised dates for the delivery of the transformation work and the subsequent impact this has on the start of the ICT procurement and development process, the project end date has been extended by 3months. The original project end date was March 2012 and this has moved to June 2012. The high-level project plan provides the new timeline.
- 16.13.12 This change has been presented and approved by the 8th June WRS Mgt Board.

Risk

16.13.13 There is a potential risk to the delivery against the Year 3 (2012/13) business case benefits due to the change in project completion date from March 2012 to May 2012.

Mitigation

- 16.13.14 The service has already increased the resource team supporting the transformation work stream to increase the pace of this work.
- The initial stages of the procurement process to be brought forward by 3-months to July 2011 (originally September 2011). This should allow the project to make time back on the ICT & Transformation work stream plan and subsequently mitigate the risk on the overall project timescales.

17. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues

None in relation to this report.

Personnel Implications

Staff and trade union consultation would need to be undertaken with any proposals that have an impact on staff.

Governance/Performance Management

As outlined above.

Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime and Disorder Act 1998

None

Policy

None

Environmental

None

18. WARDS AFFECTED

All.

19. APPENDICES

Appendix A Financial Summary - Shared Services - Estimate 2010/11 (Qtr 4)

Appendix B Risks

Appendix C Live Shared Services – Benefits Realisation Summary

Appendix D Shared Service/Transformation Projects Underway

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Shared Services Papers.

Kevin Dicks Chief Executive

Bromsgrove District and Redditch Borough Council

Appendix A

Financial Summary - Shared Services - 2010/11

April - March 2010/11 - Quarter 4

	Budget 2010/11			April - March 2010/11		
-	Bromsgrove £'000		TOTAL £'000	Actual £'000	Variance £'000	Comments
<u>Cashable Savings</u>						
Single Management Team	247	213	460	478	18	Additional savings due to posts not filled immediately
ဖြေး :Transitional Support costs	-65	-65	-130	-75	55	Transitional Support Costs underspent
Net Savings from single management team	182	148	330	403	73	
Other shared services savings						
- Payroll	48	17	65	65	0	Share based on number of payslips / additional income received 2011/12 due to providing service for Wyre Forest
CCTV & Lifeline	81	202	283	283	0	Share based on number of units / cameras at each Council
ICT	63	63	126	126	0	Share based on staffing savings and reduction in contract prices due to shared arrangements

Abandoned Vehicles Joint Contract	6	6	12	12	0	The commissioning of a joint contract has saved the Councils due to the economy of scale in the contract price
Insurance Joint Contract	70	78	148	148	0	The commissioning of a joint contract has saved the Councils due to the economy of scale in the contract price
Savings/ costs (-) from Other Shared Services	268	366	634	634	0	
Overall position - cashable savings	450	514	964	1,037	73	
Non Cashable Savings						
Pag Web Developer	19	19	38	38	0	Based on the Councils sharing a web developer and reducing costs to each Authority The procurement advisor has been in post on a shared basis for 3 years and has delivered significant savings to
Procurement	26	26	52	52	0	each Council The commissioning of a joint contract
Dog Warden joint contract	11	11	22	22	0	has saved the Councils due to the economy of scale in the contract price Sharing a climate change/sustainability officer has delivered an improved service at
Joint Sustainability Officer	15	15	30	30	0	reduced cost
Non Cashable Savings	71	71	142	142	0	
Overall position	521	585	1,106	1,179	73	Additional Savings generated 2010/11

RISKS

APPENDIX B

In developing the risk analysis the following matrix has been used:

Likelihood: Impact: High 4 Critical 4 Significant 3 Major 3 Marginal Medium 2 2 Low Low 1

	Ref	Risk	L	I	Score	Mitigation
age 30	1.	Impact of changes in political leadership	3	2	6	The programme and the proposed governance model have been designed to accommodate changes in political leadership. Regular meetings with Leaders of all Political Groups (at RBC) to ensure that it is clear that the management team serves all members not just controlling group.
	2.	Lack of staff capacity to implement the recommendations.	2	4	8	The proposed Transformation Team and the fact that it is embedded within the organisational structure will provide expertise and resource to plan and lead the implementation programme. In addition an increased number of management posts (as against that proposed by Serco) have increased the capacity of the management team to deliver the change required. Furthermore, the financial plan for the first year of shared services includes funding to provide additional legal, financial and Human Resources support during the period of transition and with the proposal to condense the programme officers have been requested to identify any further support needed.
	3.	Loss of key senior staff following recruitment	1	3	3	The new management team have effectively taken up new roles now – this risk has therefore been reduced in terms of level.

5.	Lack of buy-in from staff Lack of support from unions	2	2	6	A regular programme of staff engagement, communication and consultation is underway to ensure staff are fully involved with the process. The new management team are providing support to staff by being located at both Councils during the course of the week to provide visible leadership and support. In addition regular staff forums have been held and will continue to be undertaken to discuss the shared service arrangements and the support being made available to them during the transition period. Ongoing and regular dialogue with Unions throughout the whole process to
J.	Lack of Support from unions				ensure they are involved in the process. The feedback from the unions during the consultation periods has been positive with areas of further involvement discussed openly and addressed.
6.	Downturn in performance during implementation.	3	4	12	The Corporate Management Team will review on a regular basis the performance across the Councils to ensure any downturn does not have a detrimental impact on the customer or community need. With the proposed reduction in the timeline managers will review critical business service areas and consider additional capacity and backfill for posts if a need is identified.
P age 31	Cultural differences between the two Councils	1	3	3	These will be addressed as part of the engagement and communication strategy for the overall programme and as part of the Transformation Programme. This will be supplemented by investment in and commitment to a meaningful organisational development programme that promotes the development of a new culture for the partnership organisation (which is not subordinate to the preexisting cultural norms). Linking Organisational Development with the Transformation agenda will also help to address this. Top team development days have been held (including systems thinking) with further sessions planned to ensure that the cultural differences are addressed.
8.	Differences in terms and conditions	1	2	2	The majority of terms and conditions were included within the collective agreement that was implemented on 1 st June 2011. A review of call out and standby will be undertaken during 2011/12 which will mean all T&Cs are harmonised.
9.	Differences in IT systems	3	2	6	While differences in IT systems in some services will reduce the initial scope for savings, this issue will be addressed as part of the implementation planning for individual services and will be removed over time as contracts come up for review.
10.	Potential conflict with WETT work	1	1	2	There are no future WETT arrangements planned. The shared services will work alongside any current WETT service models.

11.	Meeting member expectations in relation to access and engagement	3	3	9	The overall governance model will be reviewed to find the most effective way of enabling one service manager to engage with members and service two committees.
12.	Delivering the projected savings and non-financial benefits	2	3	6	Regular monitoring of savings will be undertaken for officers and members. The proposed reduction in the timeline will mitigate the risk of slippage in the delivery of savings.
13.	Confusion for customers	3	3	9	This risk can be mitigated by a programme of regular communication, which stresses the benefits of the changes, both financially to the two Councils and in terms of improved delivery of services to customers.
14.	Emergence of issues presenting a 'conflict of interest for the CEO / other management team members in relation to policy advice to both Councils during lifetime of longer term partnership (e.g. wicked issues such as future LGR)	2	4	8	The structure proposes a Lead Officer for Redditch and a Lead Officer from Bromsgrove when issues such as this arise. In addition a conflicts resolution policy has been agreed as part of the overarching agreement.

APPENDIX C

LIVE SHARED SERVICE - BENEFITS REALISATION SUMMARY

a. Community Safety

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
Enhanced service delivery to secure high levels of customer satisfaction.	Achieved – a number of high profile initiatives and projects have been delivered in both districts; with partners, elected members and customers both external and internal expressing high levels of satisfaction with the delivery of the service	
Deliver strategic excellence across the two Districts.	Achieved – Both districts now have a regular and consistent strategic presence at County and regional level through representation of the Joint Service Manager. Discussions are currently being undertaken regarding the strategic community safety partnerships across the County.	
To build resilience within the two Districts against a new regime of grant funding at a district level.	Achieved – Shared service has allowed the mainstreaming of posts in both districts, reducing reliance on grant funding building resilience within the both district areas	
Develop the sharing of commissioning, data and expertise.	Achieved – Sharing of information, expertise and project ideas takes place on a regular basis across both districts the first joint funding bid to the home office was successful with a grant of £15,000 awarded.	

Create the building blocks for a potential future	Achieved – Initial discussions have begun	
merge of the Community Safety Partnerships and	with both partnerships with a renewed	
a wider shared service delivery model.	interest in scoping the potential for a North	
·	Worcs. CSP. The Countywide Safer	
	Board is undertaking an options appraisal	
	regarding possible opportunities/options	
	for the future.	

Key Performance standards (where available) Not applicable to the Community Safety shared service business case however the Head of Community Services is currently developing measures to allow members to assess performance.

	Perfor mance ref	Performance Description	Pre sh servid perform baselir availa	ces lance le (if	BDC	BDC Performance			C Performa		Commentary
Pa			BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	
ge											
က်				·							
1											

NOTE: All performance reported via CSP and available if required.

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

Include here other benefits that have been achieved that weren't identified as part of the business case

Despite being the one of the first teams to go through Shared Service and the first service to maintain an operational presence in both authorities, overall performance has been exceptional. The team has adapted quickly and continues to perform at a consistently high level.

b. CCTV/ Lifeline

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
Enhanced service delivery to provide high levels of customer satisfaction.	A thousand customers were randomly selected and asked to respond to our customer satisfaction survey. We received 376 questionnaires back. The results were very favourable; When asked"Overall are you satisfied with the quality of the Lifeline Service?" 99% responded satisfied or very satisfied. 99% were satisfied or very satisfied with the help they had received in an emergency. 99% were satisfied or very satisfied with the speed at which the call was answered. 98% thought the service was value for money. Installation of Lifeline equipment. We aim to fit 9 out of 10 urgent installations within 2 days; this target was achieved through out the year. All non-urgent installations were achieved within the target of 10 working days. After installing 467 Lifeline machines in the last 12 months when surveyed; 100% were satisfied with the quality of the service they had received at installation. 100% were satisfied with the speed of the response to their request for an appointment. 100% thought the staff were helpful and 95% thought the service was value for money	
To ensure high service standards are provided to partners.	We have strengthened our Partnership with the Police inviting the Inspectors from the Bromsgrove, Redditch and Wyre Forest to view the Monitoring Centre and make	

		improvements to our practices. This has resulted in streamlined evidence collection procedures, a donation of 1000 DVD's from the police, and communication at a higher level. By attending CDRP tasking in both RBC and BDC we are able to give a consistent approach across both authorities. The shared service has also allowed us new opportunity and recognition as strong partner with social service, the joint commissioning team and the PCT. We have been invited to participate in 2 Telecare pilot projects for falls and intermediate care.	
Page 36	To build resilience within the two Districts against future funding regimes addressing particularly grant funding through Supporting People, Worcestershire County Council commissioning and the personalisation agenda.	Funding for the service from Supporting People is currently under review and Supporting People have decided to go through a tendering process for Call Alarm services where dispersed units are in place (individual units that plug into the service users telephone line). They plan to imbed Telecare into support packages at the initial stage, and this will result in many more service users. Redditch Borough Council will be tendering for this business however we plan to explore the options for working together with Worcestershire Telecare, an organisation based in the South of the county and possibly offer a County wide service to the County Council. Detailed business risk analysis will be carried out in due course.	
	The revenue savings (salaries only) are proportioned 60% RBC (£176K), and 40% BDC (£116K).	The shared service has not yet completed a full financial year; therefore the complete budget picture is not yet clear. However savings against last years total (not just salaries) budget were £116,663 at Redditch and £59,168 at Bromsgrove with an additional saving of £81,000 already accounted for making the total saving for BDC £140,168.	

Key Performance standards (where available)

Perfor mance ref	Performance Description	Pre shared services performance baseline (if available)		BDC Performance			RBC Performance			Commentary
		BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	
										·

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

Include here other benefits that have been achieved that weren't identified as part of the business case

The shared service is now hosted at Redditch Borough Council, and services formally provided by the Control Room at Bromsgrove District Council are provided at the modernised RBC location, within the Town Hall. The shared services include CCTV monitoring, Lifeline Installation, Lifeline call handling, both councils 'out of hours' emergency contact service and a key role in the emergency plan.

The Monitoring Centre service restructure was carried out in June 2010 resulting in a profiled shift pattern to meet the peaks and troughs of the day, having four operators in post and the busiest times and only two operators on the quieter nights.

The new service began at 2pm on the 9th of June one month ahead of schedule. The switch over was seamless and service continuity maintained.

As part of the improvements made the control equipment for the CCTV images has been modernised enabling Operators to instantly review footage of incidents that have occurred. All incidents that occur are captured within the new electronic incident manager system called VTAS, enabling statistical information to be produced.

All operating procedures for CCTV have been reviewed and rewritten to reflect the new equipment. All Lifeline procedures have been reviewed and amended to harmonize the operational practice and meet the 2009 Telecare Services Association (TSA) Code of Practice. Staff have been trained on the new procedures.

The Lifeline Installation teams from RBC and BDC and all staff are now based at Redditch Town Hall. Procedures to harmonise the service across both authorities are now underway.

Accreditation was achieved following a rigorous TSA audit in January 2011, this was a brilliant achievement in a short space of time.

The branding and mission statement has been developed in consultation with service users and other interested parties. The Mission statement "NEW Lifeline supporting independent living, providing peace of mind to service users and their families 24 hours a day." Was arrived at capturing the Service User requirement. The name 'NEW Lifeline' was chosen for two reasons; North East Worcestershire and the fact that we are new service, and keeping 'Lifeline' to ensure recognition and maintenance of the excellent reputation both Redditch and Bromsgrove Lifeline have built up.

Through out the shared services project customer standards were maintained, performance indicators were achieved and targets accomplishes.

The monitoring centre has taken over 75,000 Lifeline calls in the last 12 months. Within this number, Operators have dealt with around 2500 medical emergencies, over 2000 calls where the service user was requesting other help and support, 1300 calls where the service user was unable to respond and the operator had to investigate urgently, over 4000 fire alarm/smoke detector activations (including tests), made and received over 28,000 test calls and 10,000 false alarms. (Other types of call operators receive include door entry requests, information exchange, support officers and other staff logging in and out, auto alarms for low batteries etc, lone worker calls.)

We currently have 5282 homes connected to Lifeline across Redditch (3024) and Bromsgrove (2258).

The shared service CCTV Monitoring centre now covers Redditch Town Centre, Headless Cross, Batchley, Matchborough centre, Winyates centre, Woodrow, Bromsgrove Town Centre, Rubery, Aston fields, Alvechurch, Hagley, Barnt Green, Wythall, Kidderminster Town Centre, Stourport and Bewdley, and we have developed a proactive monitoring time table to ensure that all areas are monitored through out the day.

CCTV monitoring centre procedures have been rewritten to reflect new equipment and harmonise processes following shared services.

Since June 2010 Operators have recorded 4513 incidents. This is includes where operators have been asked to look for an incident occurring or a suspect, review footage where an incident may of occurred previously, have spotted suspicious behaviour, or seen an incident occurring that they have reported to the police.

c. Electoral Shared Services

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
To deliver a High Quality Service with Good Customer Satisfaction	The Electoral Shared Service has now been operating for over 12 months and has successfully delivered Local elections to both Councils. As part of the Election for the 2011 District Elections BDC delivered the postal voting element for RBC on site at Bromsgrove. This process was very successful and released time and capacity for Redditch during the election period. Both Councils benefitted from the delivery of additional electoral support though their respective Customer Service Desks and this provided the customer with timely and easily accessed information regarding all aspects of the Electoral registration and Election day detail. Both Bromsgrove and Redditch have benefitted from a wide range of democratic participation work over the 2010/11 period included 'Would be Councillor Days' and Democratic Participation workshops through New College.	
To Deliver Strategic Excellence across two Councils	The Electoral Commission Inspection in October 2010 revealed that the service was operation above the National Standard and identified best practice standards in relation to both Councils integrity checks.	

	Other Councils have sought advice and help from the electoral team over the past year in relation to shared services in Electoral functions and a study into the dissolution of Parish Councils included a positive review of the exercise carried out in Bromsgrove to dissolve a Parish Council in 2010.	
	Extensive work has been carried out on both Councils websites for Electoral Services and it is fair to say that other Councils are now choosing to replicate this work. The shared service environment has given rise to additional expertise in this area that both Councils have benefited from.	
Build Resilience and develop increased capacity D AGE 40	The ability for Bromsgrove to host the delivery of the Redditch element of the postal voting demonstrated the strength that has been created as part of the shared team and a realisation of benefits under the shared service arrangements. Both Councils have been able to make financial savings which have been identified as part of the end of year return for 2010.	
	In addition the service provided to the customer has improved and the response times to customers with electoral queries improved dramatically as a result of the work done with both Councils Customer Service Desks.	
	The Electoral Officer has been undertaking training with the AEA for one year now and has been able to produce specialist papers on postal voting and other aspects of electoral work – gaining distinctions – this education is enabling the team to continue to provide resilience through the shared service. In addition it is intended that the service review will provide yet further training capacity to the team.	

Manage an increased monitoring regime	The shared environment has enabled both Councils	
effectively	to reach the highest standard in most categories of	
	the Electoral Commissions Performance Indicators	
	and has enabled both Councils to develop a plan that	
	will meet all of these within the Registration and	
	Elections indicator sets.	

Key Performance standards (where available)

Perfo rman ce ref	Performance Description	Pre sh servi perform baselii availa	ces nance ne (if	BDC Performance		RBC Performance			Commentary	
Page		BDC	RB C	YTD Target	YTD Actua	Tren d / Traffi c light	YTD Target	YTD Actua I	Tren d / Traffi c light	
41	Electoral Turnout	37.4%		Increas e Trend	44.7 %		Increas e Trend	38.8		Electoral Turnout for 2010 was 63.1% but in RBC due to the Election being combined with a Parliamentary. In 2009 the turnout was 35.4% and combined with a European and County Council Election. In terms of a like for like comparison it is difficult to ascertain with elections by thirds but it would suggest an increase based on the 2009 turnout figures.

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

Bromsgrove hosted and delivered the postal voting element of the Election for Redditch during the Local Elections in 2011. This has created additional savings that were not identified as part of the original shared service business case.

d. ICT

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary
Upgrade Infrastructure at RBC to match that at Bromsgrove. (including virtualisation) Dage 42	Upgraded RBC core network infrastructure to the same standard as BDC. This has facilitated the development of a single ICT support team and a single IP address structure. Implemented a virtual server environment. The new environment has improved disaster recovery for all major business applications at both RBC & BDC. It has also provided a more stable server environment. However, some work is still required to remove obsolete legacy servers from the RBC infrastructure. Orders have been placed for a new telephony system for BDC/RBC and Wyre Forest. A project board has been established for the implementation. A pilot using Sun Ray devices is underway at RBC. Sun Rays are used to provide a virtual desktop instead of using a standard PC to access applications.	
Team re-structure	The restructure has been completed.	
Bring together Internet and Email monitoring devices.	This has been completed and has realised savings of £10,850 as stated in the business case.	
Joint procurement of standardised services.	Joint procurement is taking place between BDC and RBC.	Savings of £2000 as stated in the business case have not been

		realised due to the small quantities of equipment purchased.
Link the two separate email and calendar systems.	This has been completed as stated in the business case	A joint email address for staff has also been implemented for
Standard approach to helpdesk services.	A standardised helpdesk service has been implemented.	BDC/RBC. The saving of £25,000 as stated in the business case has not been realised as RBC did not have a helpdesk system prior to the shared service. Additional costs were incurred to deliver this service improvement.

Key Performance standards (where available)

P Pag	erformanc e ref	Performance Description	Pre sh servi perform baselii availa	ces nance ne (if	BDC Performance			RBG	C Performa	ance	Commentary
je 43			BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	
IC	TLPI2.1	No of helpdesk calls	70 pw	N/A	60 pw	100 pw		N/A	200 pw	N/A	Previously RBC had no helpdesk system so there is no PI data for this indicator. More helpdesk calls are being reported as major changes to the infrastructure are made. It is anticipated that these numbers will fall as further improvements are made and the infrastructure stabilises after the period of implementation.

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

Include here other benefits that have been achieved that weren't identified as part of the business case

- Backup software has been upgraded and procedures improved to ensure regular backups are taken of corporate data.
- A Storage Area Network (SAN) has been installed at RBC to increase capacity for data storage.
- Server room monitoring devices for heat and moisture have been installed at RBC after a serious air conditioning failure in the server room

e. Internal Audit

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
Greater resilience due to increased scale of operation and access to a greater knowledge base.	New structure introduced from 1 st April 2011. Too early to report on the impact of new structure together with revised working practices.	
Reduced costs of Internal Audit for 2011/12.	Too early to report.	

-Key Performance standards (where available)

Perfor mance ref	Performance Description	Pre sh servion perform baselion availa	ces nance ne (if	BDC Performance			RBC Performance			Commentary
		BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	I I rattic		
	Delivery of Annual Audit Plan.									Performance reported to Audit Board / Audit & Governance Committee.

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

Financial savings are planned for 2011/12, due to a review of the Annual Audit Plan.

f. Payroll

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
Low level of financial savings estimated.	Too early to report. The Service only went live on 1 st April 2011.	
Greater resilience. Page	The Payroll team increased by 1 knowledgeable FTE. This will permit the service to be better placed to implement job evaluation for Redditch, deal with changes to terms and conditions at both Redditch and Bromsgrove and to implement in conjunction with HR and OD the Chris 21 Kiosk service. The kiosk will permit electronic processing. The extra member of staff also provides back up for the Bromsgrove and Redditch Payrolls.	

Key Performance standards (where available)

Perfor mance ref	Performance Description	Pre sh servi perforn baseli availa	ces nance ne (if	BDG	BDC Performance			C Perform	ance	Commentary
		BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	I I I rattic		
	To be developed.									Performance reported to Audit Board / Audit & Governance Committee.

g. Policy, Performance and Partnerships

Expected Results (as per business case)	Actual Results	Commentary (explain any differences)
Costs (revenue & Capital)		
£77,000	£83,000	Policy Officers were costed at grade 8, but evaluated at grade 7.
£11,000	£16,000	
Outcomes and Benefits		
 Reduced costs whilst retaining service resilience and delivery. A small strategic planning capacity at the centre of both councils that ensures the correct identification of priorities and service alignment to achieve this. A small information function e.g. quality of life statistics, community engagement and performance data, that helps identify the strategic issues facing each council. A small performance function that 	Exceeded. Capacity in communications is very tight. Achieved, but would query whether is now required given purpose, lead and lag sit with Transformation. Possible role around "planning for the future". Achieved. Recruitment to vacant community engagement post needs careful consideration, as may need more focus on handling statistics than actual engagement, particularly, given potential loss of community safety analyst role. Residual function of one post.	Despite having just gone through a review, PPP needs further consideration in terms of purpose as its role is changing.
 ensures appropriate performance information is reported to Members and CMT to enable them to manage both councils. Assurance that data used by senior Members and the Chief Executive is reliable. 	No longer applicable as data likely not be reported (see previous point) and data integrity the responsibility of departments.	
 Improved local strategic partnership 	Achieved, but too earlier to determine	

τ	J
σ	•
\overline{C}	ŀ
æ	ŀ
_	L
_	۲.
_	u

working, particularly, at Redditch to ensure continued focus on health inequalities and educational attainment.

- Co-ordinated community engagement work across both councils that is used to inform decisions.
- A single smaller communications unit that promotes the council and engages staff.
- A small policy support function to interpret new policy for both councils.

 Reduced CO2 emissions and adaptation to climate change where appropriate.

- An in-house printing and design function (subject to review in 2011/12).
- A solution that is more resilient for both councils.
- A solution that provides a strong basis for approaching other councils about an expanded service.

whether the LSP Manager role will deliver on this agenda. Long term agenda. A systems approach to LSP probably required.

Partially achieved, need to review need for a community engagement strategy/policy.

Achieved, but capacity very tight.

Achieved.

This has been in place now for almost two years. See commentary in body of report. Each council on it's on would not have been able to fund a Climate Change Officer, but together we can and the benefits are clear.

Subject to review now using systems thinking approach.

Achieved.

Possible, but no plans to do so at present. Wyre Forest were approached during review.

Key Performance standards (where available)

Perfor mance ref	Performance Description	Pre sh servi perform baselii availa	ces nance ne (if	BDC Performance			RBG	C Performa	ance	Commentary
		BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	
NI185	CO2 emissions from Council buildings.									CJ
Local	% of positive press coverage (RBC).									AW
tLocal	% of positive press coverage (BDC)									JC

☼Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

The Climate Change Officer has benefited from having stronger links with policy and communications.

h. Procurement

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
Savings realised from joint and individual procurement activities. Savings of £100k have been built in to base budgets.	During the last year the team have assisted officers in delivering savings in excess of £540k.	

Key Performance standards (where available)

Perfor Unance ref	Performance Description	Pre sha servio perform baselir availal	ces ance ne (if	BDC Performance			RBC	C Performa	ance	Commentary
49		BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	
	N/A									

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

An agreement has been signed by all the Chief Executives of the Worcester District Councils to formerly collaborate in delivering savings and efficiencies by collaborative procurement.

i. Property Services

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
5% savings on budget / BDC	£11k Costs.	Savings not achieved due to division to withdraw from the WETT Property Services.
5% savings / RBC	£33k Costs.	

Key Performance standards (where available)

Ġ	Performance Description	Pre shared services performance baseline (if available)		BDC Performance		RBC Performance			Commentary	
2		BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	
	N/A									The service to be delivered is specified in an SLA and Annual Service Plan.

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

Redditch Borough Council have already benefited from the access to wider technical expertise that exist within a larger organisation.

j. Worcestershire Regulatory Services

Expected Results (as per business case) Outcomes and Benefits	Actual Results to date	Commentary (explain any differences)
Service improvements & increased efficiency		
 Improved delivery to customers: Better position to meet customers' needs Reducing avoidable contact Improve buy-in from local Members through the joint committee Reducing the burden on business by avoiding duplication of inspections Improved performance 	Transformation work now underway with support from Vanguard. Results expected within the six month period from Mar 2011 – Sep 2011. Joint Committee established in June 2010. Meetings held quarterly and scheduled throughout 2011.	
Resilience Improved capacity – recruiting of key staff & sharing of resources Improve career structure & personal development Improved partnership working Improve morale Improve competence and skill base	New structure in place and resources being shared around County. Work has begun on developing a core competence framework that will increase skills and personal development.	
Cost saving & ROI		
Cost reduction through efficiencies Home working /reduced commuting time Eliminate duplication, overlap & rationalisation of processes & working practises Increased funding opportunities	Flexible & Mobile Working Environment pilot from October 2010 to December 2010. Pilot to be extended following completion of move to Wyatt House on 1 st July.	
Economies of scale Rationalisation / re-use of estate ICT integration Reduced management/support costs & overheads	Move to Wyatt House underway. Planned completion date 1 st July 2011. Cost Benefit Analysis to inform direction of ICT procurement for WRS completed	ICT strategic approach still to be agreed. Want to avoid developing an IT system for WRS that restricts options for greater integration across County at a later stage.

pe WRS policy and approach.	Fees and charges will be standardised from a WRS perspective but may vary for those where there is a local authority element that needs to be added i.e. legal
ems Thinking approach adopted by the ice, with the support of Vanguard. Work	input into licensing.
i	

Key Performance standards (where available)

Perfor mance ref	Performance Description	Pre sh servion perform baselion availa	ces nance ne (if	BDC Performance		ance	RBC Performance			Commentary
		BDC	RBC	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	YTD Target	YTD Actual	Trend / Traffic light	

Overall Performance Summary and Issues for the Board

Include here other benefits that have been achieved that weren't identified as part of the business case.

SHARED SERVICE/TRANSFORMATION PROJECTS UNDERWAY (HIGHLIGHT REPORTS FOR AMBER AND RED STATUS PROJECTS)

	shire Regulatory Se	rvice – Shared Se	ervice Project				
Calendar Week ending:	21/06/11	Report prepared	eport prepared by: lan Edwards				
Overall status: Red/Amber/Green	Amber	% Complete:	55%				
Project Start	March 2010	Projected Completion	May 2012				
Summary position:							
	on the potential impac		fits. Risk flagged with the WRS savings due to extending the project				
Planned activities for	or this week	Progress again	st those planned activities				
Key tasks: 1. ICT cost benefit a (15 th June) feedbotterms of reference	ack and revised	1. On track for 24 th June 2011					
2. Move to Wyatt Ho July 2011.	ouse complete 1 st	2. On track for	1 st July 2011.				
Other progress for t	this week						
Systems' thinking is p two key work streams taken off line for appr performance as reso	orogressing well. Two s, complaints and rou roximately 3 weeks to urces are stretched. ntly experimenting wit	utine inspections. So undertake the 'ch	ve been trained and begun looking a taff in the 'check teams' have been eck', this may cause a small dip in working and developing its approach				
	and allowed and and the control to all the control to the control	cations are positive	e in that the customer experience ha				
to 'rolling in' the rest		•	clearly defined in then future.				
to 'rolling in' the rest	oth capacity and capa	•	clearly defined in then future.				
to 'rolling in' the rest of improved and that bo	oth capacity and capa	•	clearly defined in then future.				
to 'rolling in' the rest of improved and that both a Planned activities for the control of the c	oth capacity and capa	ability will be more o	clearly defined in then future.				
to 'rolling in' the rest of improved and that both improved and that both improved activities for the second secon	or next week O Wyatt House early findings from e presented to Joint	Status	clearly defined in then future.				

Page 53 39

Risks, issues and concerns

 Project end date extended from March 2012 to May 2012. Timescales for the completion of the transformation phases is now September 2011. As the potential ICT procurement, design and implementation is dependent on the completion of the transformation piece, the project has flagged a potential risk to Year 3 savings.

Mitigating Action

Exception report for the change in project dates presented to the 11th May WRS management board. Risk accepted and mitigating action outlined below.

The service has already increased the resource team supporting the transformation workstream to increase the pace of this work.

The initial stages of the procurement process to be brought forward by 3-months to July 2011 (originally September 2011). This should allow the project to make time back on the ICT & Transformation work stream plan and subsequently mitigate the risk on the overall project timescales.

Summary of Project Benefits (provide detail on project benefits, expected outcomes etc)

Key objectives as described within the WRS detailed business case v10.0:

- 1. Service improvements and increased efficiency
- 2. Cost saving and return on investment
- 3. Centralised service delivery

Revenue budget as being reported to the 23rd June Joint Committee

budget	projected outturn	projected outturn variance
5,958	5,357	-601

Capital budget as being reported to the 23rd June Joint Committee

£'000	Business case total estimate	Budget 2010/11	Projected spend	Variance
Total	1,537	711	101	-610
Capital grants	-270	-200	0	200
Total to be funded by partners	1,267	511	101	-410

Page 54 40

Agenda Item 6

ENCLOSURES FOR THIS ITEM WILL FOLLOW

Agenda Item 7

THERE ARE NO ENCLOSURES FOR THIS AGENDA ITEM

Agenda Item 10

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted